2019 AACR-NCI-EORTC Molecular Targets and **Cancer Therapeutics** Abstract C050

Novel, Potent, and Selective Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-2α (HIF-2α) Antagonists

OVERVIEW

- Preclinical and clinical evidence suggests that HIF-2α is a valid approach to destroy tumor cells, particularly in clear cell renal carcinoma (ccRCC)^{1,2}
- * Arcus Biosciences is developing novel HIF-2α-specific smallmolecule antagonists and investigating the biology of HIF-2 α in various cancer and non-cancer cell subsets.
- Here we describe pharmacological properties associated with novel. potent, and selective HIF-2 α antagonists and findings related to the understanding of HIF-2 α biology in human immune and stromal cells and development of a HIF- 2α -specific transcriptional signature.

HIF-2α BIOLOGY & REGULATION

- The solid tumor microenvironment (TME) can be hypoxic and cancer cells require induction of genes associated with metabolism, proliferation, and angiogenesis to survive and metastasize³.
- The master transcriptional regulators of hypoxia-induced genes are the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor (HIF) proteins⁴
- ✤ HIF consists of an oxygen-regulated alpha monomer, of which there are three isoforms (HIF-1 α , HIF-2 α , and HIF-3 α)⁴.
- Alpha monomers heterodimerize with a constitutively-expressed beta monomer (HIF-1β/ARNT) using Per-ARNT-SIM (PAS) protein-protein interaction domains⁴.
- Disruption of HIF- α /HIF-1 β heterodimer formation is an effective means to inhibition of HIF-2α-dependent gene transcription⁴



Figure 1. Overview of HIF-2α regulation. In normoxia (left), proline residues present in the oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODDD) of HIF-2α are hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs), allowing for recognition by the von Hippel-Lindau (pVHL) E3-ubiquitin ligase complex and subsequent ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. Upon exposure to low oxygen conditions (hypoxia, right) or in the case of vhl mutation or silencing (pseudohypoxia), HIF-2 α subunits accumulate and dimerize with HIF-1 β /ARNT, resulting in transcription of various gene sets, some of which are pro-tumorigenic, downstream of hypoxiaresponse element (HRE) DNA binding sites. Adapted from Yu et al.5.





isolated from the blood of three donors (symbols) were differentiated in normoxia for six days with M-CSF before polarizing with IL-4 for one day in normoxia or hypoxia in the presence of 10 μM HIF-2α antagonist. Shown is the (C) ratio of HIF-2α/HIF-1α gene expression and (D) relative quantity of gene transcripts whose products are associated with a suppressive TME (ADORA2A and ADORA2B) and tumor progression (VEGF, PDGFB, TNS1, TREM1). (E & F) Exposure of HUVECs to hypoxia drives a pro-angiogenic gene expression profile that is decreased by HIF-2α inhibition. HUVECs were treated with DMSO or 10 µM HIF-2α antagonist for 16 h in normoxia or hypoxia. Shown is the (E) ratio of HIF-2α/HIF-1α gene expression and (F) relative quantity of gene transcripts associated with angiogenesis. *****p*<0.0001, ****p*<0.001. Statistics were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test vs 1% O₂ DMSO control for each gene. Gene expression quantitation done by qPCR (2^{-ΔCt}). PT2385 was synthesized by Arcus utilizing methodology described in Wehn et al.7.

Kelsey E Sivick Gauthier, Kenneth V Lawson, Dana Piovesan, Soonweng Cho, Akshata R. Udyavar, Cesar Meleza, Ada Chen, Xiaoning Zhao, Steve Young, Nikki Kimura, Tim Park, Alejandra Lopez, Matthew J Walters, Elaine Ginn, Lixia Jin, Anh Tran, Samuel L Drew, Manmohan Leleti, Jay P Powers Arcus Biosciences, Inc.; 3928 Point Eden Way, Hayward, CA 94545 (USA)



ccRCC. **(D)** High HSS as well as high HIF-2α (*epas1*) expression is observed in ccRCC (KIRC), liver (LIHC), pancreatic (PAAD), cholangiocarcinoma (CHOL), and brain (GBM) cancer patients in TCGA⁹. CPM, counts per million; LOF, loss of function.



experimental outline for siRNA- (KD) or CRISPR- (Δ) based approaches to derive HIF- α isoformspecific gene signatures and Venn diagram illustrating overlapping gene expression scenarios in each experimental condition. (B) Cross-regulation between HIF-α isoforms. Hep3B cells were treated with Arcus compound or transfected with HIF- α isoform-specific siRNA and exposed to hypoxia for 16 h. Shown is the % KD of each HIF- α isoform. (C) Validation. Hep3B cells nucleofected with CRISPR protein and control or HIF-α isoform-specific guide RNAs were exposed to hypoxia for 16 h. Shown are the mean ± SEM of *epo* and *pdk1* transcript levels. ****p<0.0001. Statistics were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test vs 1% O₂ control. Gene expression quantitation done by qPCR (2^{-ΔCt}). KD,

SUMMARY

- functional activity in cell-based assays (Figure 2).
- (Figure 3).
- decreased with Arcus antagonist treatment (Figure 4).
- Complex mutational status, and tumor type (Figure 5).

- 1) Wallace et al. (2016) Cancer Res 76, 5491-5500 2) Courtney et al. (2018) J Clin Oncol 36, 867-874.
- 3) Hockel & Vaupel (2001) JNCI 93, 266-276.
- 4) Li et al. (2019) J Med Chem.
- 5) Yu et al. (2019) Drug Disc Today 00, 1-9.



Three distinct compound series are undergoing iterative SAR optimization to develop novel HIF-2α antagonists. Representative compounds from each series show both HIF-2α binding and

 \clubsuit In human cells, HIF-2 α inhibition does not significantly impact T cell function but does decrease expression of genes that encode proteins implicated in tumorigenicity in macrophages and endothelial cells

A representative Series 2 compound selectively inhibited HIF-2α target gene expression in Hep3B cells. NanoString analyses revealed pathway signatures upregulated in hypoxia that were significantly

 \Rightarrow A gene signature derived from pharmacological inhibition of HIF-2 α in Hep3B cells was used to evaluate the relationship between expression of HIF-2α or HIF-2α transcriptome genes, VHL/VHL

• Deletion of each of the three predominant HIF- α isoforms in Hep3B cells reveals isoform-dependent gene expression profiles (Figure 6).

CITATIONS

).	6)	Palazon <i>et al.</i> (2017) Cancer Cell 32, 669-683.
	7)	Wehn et al. (2018) J Med Chem 61, 9691-9721.
	8)	https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle
	9)	https://www.cancer.gov/tcga
	10)	Meyers et al. (2017) Nat Genet 49, 1779-784.